

United States Patent and Trademark Office



 \mathcal{O}^{\wedge}

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,781	01/12/2002	Rico Cheng	67,200-604	3009
7590 11/14/2003			EXAMINER	
TUNG & ASSOCIATES			OJINI, EZIAMARA ANTHONY	
Suite 120 838 W. Long Lake Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			3723	1
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	· 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	10/045,781	CHENG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anthony Ojini	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Priod for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the second of th	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of t eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on j	12 January 2002.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disp sition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 7-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-6 and 13-17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	1				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the content.	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey orrection is required if the drawing	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies n mestic priority under 35 U.S. the first sentence of the species e provisional application has	Application No en received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) fication or in an Application Data Sheet. been received.			
reference was included in the first sentence	of the specification or in an	Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	8) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/045,781

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-17 and cancellation of claim of non- elected Group II, claims 18-20 in Paper No. 3 are acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisher, Jr. et al. (6,045,434) in view of Meloni (6,264,532) and Moore (6,609,947).

With respect to claims 1,9,10, Fisher, Jr. et al. disclose a dual wafer slippage sensor holder apparatus, comprising a holder (40) designed to hold a plurality of sensors (28a, 28b) at an angle to a vertical plane (see fig 1); a rotatable polishing pad (14) for polishing a semiconductor wafer using slurry; an oppositely rotatable platen (12) underneath the polishing pad (see fig. 1).

Fisher, Jr. et al. fail to disclose the body includes a window to allow the sensors to detect wafer slippage and a cover situated over the window over the body to prevent slurry from spraying and drying onto the sensors during high-pressure rinse cleaning of a platen of the CMP semiconductor fabrication equipment.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,781

Art Unit: 3723

Moore discloses optical sensor (166) that includes an optically transmissive window (144) to allow the sensor to receive return light pulses emitted from a light source (162) onto a microelectronic substrate (12).

Meloni discloses a cover (129) that covers an ultrasonic detector (320) (a form of sensor) that prevents slurry (350) from spraying and drying onto the sensor.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide apparatus of Fisher, Jr. et al. with a cover that covers an ultrasonic detector and an optically transmissive window to allow the sensor to receive return light pulses emitted from a light source onto a microelectronic substrate in view of Meloni and Moore so as to prevent slurry from spraying and drying onto the sensors during polishing.

With respect to claims 2,12, Fisher, Jr. et al. disclose the body (40) holds the sensors (28a, 28b) horizontally opposite configuration from one another (see fig. 1).

With respect to claims 7,8,11, Fisher, Jr. et al. fail to disclose the optimum values as claimed by the applicant.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide apparatus of Fisher, Jr. et al. with the optimum values as claimed by the applicant, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 10/045,781 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-6,13-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Aiyer and Wiswesser et al. disclose apparatus that utilizes optical light rays on a workpiece.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Ojini whose telephone number is 703 305 3768. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 to 5.00 Tuesday-Friday with every other Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703 308 2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 308 3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 1148.

AO

November 6, 2003